

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICAT	ON NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/721	808	11/25/2003	Antony J. Lozier	ZIM0143	6206
43963	75	90 02/24/2006	EXAMINER		
		CHNOLOGY - BAK	HILL, GENEVIEVE A L		
	111 EAST WAYNE STREET, SUITE 800 FORT WAYNE, IN 46802			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		-,		3738	

DATE MAILED: 02/24/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

88						
cant(s)						
ER ET AL.						
nit						
ondence address						
THIRTY (30) DAYS,						
ng date of this c S.C. § 133). luce any	ommunication.					
on as to the	e merits is					
. 213.						

				00		
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/721,808	LOZIER ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Genevieve A-L. Hill	3738			
The MAILIN	IG DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	iress		
WHICHEVER IS L  - Extensions of time may after SIX (6) MONTHS  - If NO period for reply is  - Failure to reply within the Any reply received by the second sec	CTATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY ONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAY be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 from the mailing date of this communication. specified above, the maximum statutory period when set or extended period for reply will, by statute, the Office later than three months after the mailing sustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this cor D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive	to communication(s) filed on 25 No	ovember 2003.				
2a) ☐ This action i	s <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.				
•	oplication is in condition for allowan			merits is		
closed in ac	cordance with the practice under E.	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims	s					
4a) Of the ab 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☐ Claim(s) 7) ☐ Claim(s)						
Application Papers						
10)  The drawing( Applicant may Replacement	tion is objected to by the Examiner (s) filed on is/are: a) acce y not request that any objection to the o drawing sheet(s) including the correction declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the E frawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CF			
Priority under 35 U.S	.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
•	n's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) e Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa		-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/721,808

Art Unit: 3738

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-26, drawn to reamer, classified in class 606, subclass 80.
- II. Claims 27-31, drawn to reamer, classified in class 606, subclass80.
- III. Claims 32-33, drawn to method of reaming, classified in class 606, subclass 80.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination.

Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination does not require a plurality of blades and slots. The subcombination has separate utility such as an instrument used to insert a spinal implant.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group II is not required for Group I, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 3738

Inventions III and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process such as reaming  $\omega_{cool}$  with a reamer having a single blade.

217-66

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group III is not required for Group I, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Inventions III and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process such as reaming a piece of word with a reamer having slots.

2-17-0b

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group III is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/721,808

Art Unit: 3738

If the Applicant elects either Group I or II above, an election of species is also required:

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species I: Embodiment in Figure 1

Species II: Embodiment in Figure 5

Species III: Embodiment in Figure 7

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence Application/Control Number: 10/721,808

Art Unit: 3738

now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Genevieve A-L. Hill whose telephone number is (571) 272-7226. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9:00am to 5:30pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on 571-272-4754. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/721,808 Page 6

Art Unit: 3738

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

GAH

David H. Willse Primary Examiner